1. Is there an estimated budget allotted for this work, and if so, what is the budget?

   No, CBH has not allocated an estimated budget. We anticipate that all respondents will provide an estimated budget based upon their specific proposal.

2. To more accurately estimate the cost of each task and deliverable described in Section II Scope of Work, are you able to provide any additional detail around the following?

   a. Estimated or expected number of trainings and training modules for behavioral health advocacy

   During the contract period, CBH anticipates a training series of 2-3 minimum trainings. Modules covered should be proposed by respondents; however, should include the following topics: behavioral health advocacy basics, organizational infrastructure for advocacy work, identification of and communication with stakeholders, leadership skills and system implementation.

   b. Estimated or expected amount of technical assistance and number of learning collaboratives to provider agencies

   Technical assistance will be determined by the level of provider competency; however, CBH anticipates that no more than 10 provider agencies will participate in the learning collaborative.

3. With regard to the 18-month timeline of the project, do you have any requirements, constraints, or initial estimates about how the time should be divided between the main four tasks / deliverables listed under Section II Scope of Work, letter B, on page 4?

   To inform development of the training modules, CBH anticipates that the initial three months will focus on coordination with key advocacy stakeholders across the behavioral health system.

   In the first six months, CBH anticipates that training modules will be developed and approved, provider and stakeholder cohorts will be selected, and the learning collaborative participants will be selected.

   In the following 12 months, the trainings and technical assistance will be delivered to the identified participants.
4. RFP 1.c. Format Requirements, pg. 6, last line of first full paragraph: “Applicants whose narrative exceeds six single-spaced pages may have their proposals considered non-responsive and be disqualified.” Please confirm that “six” is a typo and that the line should read “10” pages, as stated in the first line of that paragraph

This was a typographical error. The correct number of maximum pages allowed is 10 and not six as incorrectly indicated in the last sentence of the first full line of page 6. The line should correctly read:

Applicants whose narrative exceeds ten (10) single-spaced pages may have their proposals considered non-responsive and be disqualified.